Table 1. Engine specifications.

Table 2. Numerical models

Table 3. Simulation conditions.

Table 4. Injection strategies.

Figure 1. Schematic diagram of the BUSDIG engine design.

Figure 2. Schematic diagram of the normalized exhaust valve lift profiles (EL’) and scavenge port opening area (SA’) profiles.

Figure 3. Comparison of injection plume from the Schlieren measurements and simulation results with both droplets and fuel vapor distributions at the background pressure of 1 bar.

Figure 4. Comparison of injection plume from the Schlieren measurements and simulation results with both droplets and fuel vapor distributions at the background pressure of 10 bar.

Figure 5. Comparison of the tip penetration from the Schlieren measurements and simulations at background pressures of 1 bar and 10 bar.

Figure 6. Evolutions of SR, TR and CTR with different SOI timings of the single injection.

Figure 7. In-cylinder flow fields at 290 °CA without injection and SOI timing of 280 °CA.

Figure 8. In-cylinder fuel/air equivalence ratio (ER) and fuel droplets distributions with different SOI timings at 10 °CA after SOI.

Figure 9. Evolutions of the in-cylinder fuel/air equivalence ratio (ER) distributions with SOI timing of 300 °CA.

Figure 10. The average fuel/air equivalence ratio (ER) of the mixture in the whole cylinder and spark zone with different SOI timings of single injection.

Figure 11. The inhomogeneity of the fuel/air equivalence ratio (ER) of the mixture in the spark zone with different SOI timings of single injection.

Figure 12. Effect of the SOI timing on the average turbulent kinetic energy (TKE) of the mixture in the spark zone with single injection.

Figure 13. The average fuel/air equivalence ratio (ER) of the mixture in the whole cylinder and spark zone with different SOI timings (r1st=0.5).

Figure 14. The fuel/air equivalence ratio distributions with split injection (r1st=0.5, SOI=280/300 °CA).

Figure 15. The in-cylinder flow fields with (a) split injections (r1st=0.5, SOI=280/300 °CA) and (b) single injection (SOI=300 °CA).

Figure 16. Effect of SOI timings on the inhomogeneity of the fuel/air equivalence ratio (ER) of the mixture in the spark zone with split injection (r1st=0.5).

Figure 17. Effect of the SOI timing on the average turbulent kinetic energy (TKE) of the mixture in the spark zone with split injection (r1st=0.5).

Figure 18. The average fuel/air equivalence ratio (ER) of the mixture in the whole cylinder and spark zone with different SOI timings (r1st=0.2).

Figure 19. The average fuel/air equivalence ratio (ER) of the mixture in the whole cylinder and spark zone with different SOI timings (r1st=0.8).

Figure 20. Evolutions of SR, TR and CTR with 8 and 12 scavenge ports.

Figure 21. The average fuel/air equivalence ratio (ER) of the mixture in the whole cylinder and spark zone with different SOI timings (SPN=8).

Figure 22. Comparison of the in-cylinder fuel/air equivalence ratio (ER) distributions at 335 °CA with different SPNs (SOI=320 °CA).

Figure 23. The average fuel/air equivalence ratio (ER) of the mixture in the whole cylinder and spark zone with different SOI timings (r1st=0.2, SPN=8).

Figure 24. The average fuel/air equivalence ratio (ER) of the mixture in the whole cylinder and spark zone with different SOI timings (r1st=0.5, SPN=8).

Figure 25. Comparison of the in-cylinder fuel/air equivalence ratio (ER) distributions at 320 and 340 °CA with different SPNs (r1st=0.5, SPN=8).